

AGENDA FOR CLARK COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
Thursday, June 23, 2017 at 7:30 pm
County Board Room, Courthouse, Neillsville, WI 54456

CALL TO ORDER

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

ROLL CALL

APPOINTMENT

Planning & Zoning Committee Board of Adjustments – Reappoint David Newman

OATHS OF OFFICE

District #25

PRESENTATIONS

Planning & Zoning Presentation on Columbia
Administrative Coordinator

REPORTS

2015 Annual Veterans Report
2015 Annual Forestry & Parks Report
2015 Annual Highway Report

RESOLUTIONS:

16-6-16 Clark County Administrative Compensation Policy: Extending Reclassification Period
17-6-16 Clark County “Just Fix It”
18-6-16 Support of Grant Funding System for Clark County Veteran Service Office

MISCELLANEOUS:

Approval of the Payroll
Approval of the May 19, 2016 Minutes
Public comment, on any item on the agenda, may be by call of the Chair, or by Rule 20 of the Board of Supervisors Rules and Regulations

ADJOURNMENT

Christina M. Jensen
Clark County Clerk

Persons needing special accommodation to attend or participate in this meeting may call the County Clerk at (715) 743-5150 or TDD (715) 43-5192 or (715) 743-3157.

Posted in compliance with WI Open Meetings Laws: June 16, 2016.

RESOLUTION # 16-6-16

CLARK COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE COMPENSATION POLICY: EXTENDING RECLASSIFICATION PERIOD

1. WHEREAS, the Clark County Personnel Committee has currently
2. begun the process of hearing reclassification requests; remains
3. committed to making decisions on reclassifications with affirmed
4. financial feasibility; and has reached the conclusion that more time is
5. necessary due to unexpected additional requests in appropriately
6. receiving, analyzing, and evaluating the reclassification requests; and

8. WHEREAS, the Clark County Personnel Committee is authorized to
9. administer the classification and salary plan and policies, including
10. reclassification and grade changes under the Clark County Boards,
11. Committees and Commissions, Ordinance in Chapter 2, Article 3,
12. Section 2-48-2-67#13(i); and

14. WHEREAS, Clark County Resolution #11-3-6 states that “all
15. reclassification requests pursuant to the Clark County Employee
16. Handbook and Administrative Manual using Appendix A Wage
17. Schedules from the Clark County Administrative Compensation Policy
18. shall be completed by July 1, 2016 for any reclassification to be
19. implemented pursuant to the 2017-2018 implementation plan in the
20. Clark County Administrative Compensation Policy”.

22. NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Clark County
23. Board of Supervisors hereby extends the completion date for the
24. Personnel Committee to make decisions on initial reclassification
25. requests to August 19, 2016, which is prior to departments submitting
26. budget packets to the Office of Finance.

28. BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Personnel Committee shall
29. confer with the Finance Committee as to the financial feasibility of the
30. reclassifications requests of the Clark County Administrative
31. Compensation Plan before the Personnel Committee takes final action
32. on such requests.

Resolution #16-6-16

PERSONNEL COMMITTEE

Marcia Hochhalter

Al Bower

Mark Renderman

Arlene Kodl

Randy Sebesta

FINANCIAL IMPACT STATEMENT:
(2016)

Projected new positions:	none
Anticipated revenues:	none
Total Financial Impact:	none
Wages:	none
Benefits:	none
All other costs:	none
Space requirements:	none

Reviewed by: _____,
Corp Counsel
Reviewed by: _____,
Comptroller

RESOLUTION #17-6-16

CLARK COUNTY “JUST FIX IT”

1. WHEREAS, local government in Wisconsin is responsible for about
2. 90% of the road miles in the state; and

4. WHEREAS, Wisconsin’s diverse economy is dependent upon county
5. and town roads as well as city and village streets and transit systems
6. across the state; and

8. WHEREAS, according to “Filling Potholes: A New Look at Funding
9. Local Transportation in Wisconsin,” commissioned by the Local
10. Government Institute of Wisconsin (LGI) the condition of
11. Wisconsin’s highways is now in the bottom third of the country; and

13. WHEREAS, state funding for local roads in Wisconsin has failed to
14. keep up with costs over the past several decades which has adversely
15. affected local transportation finances. According to “Filling
16. Potholes,” municipal transportation spending has declined from
17. \$275 per capita in 2000 to \$227 in 2012; and

19. WHEREAS, levy limits do not allow local government to make up
20. for the deterioration of state funding; and

22. WHEREAS, Wisconsin’s over-reliance on borrowing eats away at the
23. state’s segregated funding sources – the state gas tax and vehicle
24. registration fees – which increasingly pay debt service rather than
25. fund transportation needs; and

27. WHEREAS, safety is a primary concern and responsibility of local
28. governments across Wisconsin. Unfortunately, according to TRIP, a
29. national non-profit transportation research group, Wisconsin had 347
30. non-interstate, rural road fatalities in 2013; and

32. WHEREAS, the Clark County Board recognizes that our state
33. highway and interstate system is the backbone of our surface
34. transportation system and plays a vital role in the economy of
35. Wisconsin. Both local *and* state roads need to be properly maintained
36. in order for our economy to grow; and

38. WHEREAS, from a competitive standpoint Wisconsin motorists pay
39. significantly less than any of our neighbors when you combine the
40. annual cost of the state gas tax and vehicle registration fees; and

42. WHEREAS, the Transportation Finance and Policy Commission,
43. appointed by the Governor and Legislature clearly found that if
44. Wisconsin does not adjust its user fees, the condition of both our state
45. and local roads will deteriorate significantly over the next decade

47. NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, the Clark County Board
48. of Supervisors urges the Governor and Legislature to Just Fix It, and
49. agree upon a sustainable solution: one that includes a responsible
50. level of bonding and adjusts our user fees to adequately and
51. sustainably fund Wisconsin’s transportation system. Furthermore, the
52. County Board of Supervisors directs the Clerk to send a copy of this
53. resolution to our State Legislators and to Governor Scott Walker.

CLARK COUNTY HIGHWAY COMMITTEE

Wayne Hendrickson

Duane Boon

Al Bower

Noel Olson

Jerome Krempasky

FINANCIAL IMPACT REPORT:

Projected new positions:	none
Anticipated revenue:	none
Wages & Benefits:	none
All other costs:	none
Space requirement:	none

Reviewed by: _____,
Corp Counsel
Reviewed by: _____,
Comptroller

RESOLUTION #18-6-16

**SUPPORT OF GRANT FUNDING SYSTEM FOR CLARK COUNTY
VETERAN SERVICE OFFICE**

1. WHEREAS, the Wisconsin County Veterans Service Office has
2. operated as a block-grant for CVSO salary supplement since
3. legislative inception in 1973, to attract and retain CVSO talent, and
4. as a means to fund improvements to CVSO veterans services in the
5. county; and
6.

7. WHEREAS, the 2015 Wisconsin Biennium Budget restructured this
8. long-standing CVSO Grant block grant payment structure to a
9. reimbursement-only payment structure, and has resulted in a very
10. cumbersome program that no longer allows salary supplementation,
11. and contains complicated rules of eligible reimbursable costs, which
12. together now create very troubling fiscal constraints on the poorest
13. of Wisconsin counties that benefitted fiscally from the previous
14. block grant payment structure; and
15.

16. WHEREAS, the previous block grant payment structure of the CVSO
17. Grant was weighted unfavorably against the smallest and poorest
18. Wisconsin counties and weighted more favorably towards the most
19. populous and affluent Wisconsin counties; and
20.

21. WHEREAS, the smallest and poorest of Wisconsin counties
22. statistically have a significantly higher percentage of veterans in
23. their aggregate general population; indicating the available county
24. revenue is at a significant fiscal disadvantage to the larger more
25. affluent Wisconsin counties in supporting their veteran population
26. with veterans services.
27.

28. NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the County of Clark
29. joins other counties in the State of Wisconsin, to express their desire
30. to the Wisconsin State Legislature to have the County Veterans
31. Service Office Grant returned to the original 1973 intent to be used
32. strictly for supplementing the salary of County Veterans Service
33. Officers in order to attract and retain talented personnel in those
34. positions, and to restructure the payment structure in order to
35. better advantage the poorest Wisconsin counties with higher
36. veteran population concentrations in attracting and retaining
37. talented personnel in these positions; and,
38.

39. BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that a copy of this resolution be sent to
40. all of Clark County's State Senators and Assembly Representatives,
41. to the Wisconsin Counties Association, and to the President of the
42. Wisconsin County Veterans Service Officer Association.

Resolution #18-6-16

CLARK COUNTY VETERAN'S SERVICE COMMITTEE

Gary Leichtman

Art Petke

William Neville

FINANCIAL IMPACT REPORT:

Projected new positions:	none
Anticipated revenue:	none
Wages & Benefits:	none
All other costs:	none
Space requirement:	none

Reviewed by: _____,
Corp Counsel
Reviewed by: _____,
Comptroller